UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/017,377	12/14/2001	Amr F. Yassin	US 010502	8074	
24737 7590 07/11/2008 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAMINER		
			VAN HANDEL, MICHAEL P		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2623		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/017,377	YASSIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MICHAEL VAN HANDEL	2623	

	WICHAEL VAN HANDEL	2023	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS			e appeal. Since a
3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l			cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	ΓE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	d	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	aucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		otod olamio.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphane / monamone (
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	iowabie ii dabiiiittea iii a deparate, i	annery med anneriamen	it dandeling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-32</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	NOT I II II II II II	197 6 11	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		i condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Chris Kelley/			
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2623			

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3:

Applicant amended claims 1, 2, 11, 12, 16, and 18 to include features from claim 32, thereby changing the limitations of claims 1, 11, 12, 16, and 18 and of the claims depending from claim 2. As such, the amendments raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11:

Regarding claim 2, the applicant argues that Robinson does not disclose uploading periodically the information related to the winning bid stored in the vault to a billing agent. The applicant specifically argues that Robinson merely discloses immediately sending winning bid information without any storage thereof in a vault, and that any sent information in Robinson is sent of the vendor or server, and not to a billing agent. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Robinson discloses receiving ads and associated bidding agents at an Interactive Internet TV Set-top box via an Internet network (p. 3, paragraph 42). Whenever a bid is won, a record of the ad and bid amount is sent via a TCP/IP socket connection to the server (p. 2, paragraph 30). As such, the examiner interprets the server to be a "vault," as currently claimed. Using this information, estimates can be made regarding the probability that a particular bid will be accepted. One way to do this is to make alist of bid amounts, together with the proportion of wins in each range (p. 3, paragraph 31). This array is then made available to advertisers in order to help them construct their agents. The amounts in the array change over time as the advertising climate changes, so updates are supplied to the advertisers (p. 3, paragraph 32). The examiner interprets this to be a "billing agent" to which the server periodically uploads the information related to the winning bid. As such, the examiner maintains that this meets the limitation of "uploading periodically the information related to the winning bid stored in a vault to a billing agent," as currently claimed.

Further regarding claim 2, the examiner notes that the system of Robinson exists in an Internet environment. As such, even when the data is immediately sent back to a vendor it must stored or cached prior to transmission. The examiner interprets a "vault" to be memory for storing data. Robinson discloses that, when a bid is accepted, a notice is immediately sent back to the agent environment vendor and the information is used for billing records. Since the data must be stored prior to transmission in an Internet communication, this also meets the limitation of "uploading periodically the information related to the winning bid stored in the vault to a billing agent," as currently claimed. Robinson also discloses that, for agents that can store alterations to a persistent state, the local agent environment can provide the agents with the results of each bid (p. 6, paragraph 92). As such, the examiner maintains that the bidding results are locally stored.